

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/455,574

Applicant(s)

KROESBERGEN,
AALBERTUS PIETER

Examiner

Jonathan Johnson

Art Unit

1725

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Johnson.

(3) _____

(2) Barbara Johnson.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 35,36,38-50 and 61-64.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's request filed on 4/18/03 (paper #18) for termination of a suspension of action under 37 CFR 1.103, has been approved. In view of applicant's request, the examiner vacates the office action submitted on 6/5/03 (paper #20) and will suspend prosecution until 7/18/03.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature (if required)